BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S)	
JURISDICTION OVER ELECTRIC VEHICLE)	
CHARGING STATIONS AND SERVICE)	PSC DOCKET NO. 19-0377
PROVIDERS)	
(FILED JUNE 11, 2019))	
)	

ORDER NO. 9439

FOR ADMISSION OF THE DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL ("DNREC") AS AN INTERVENOR

I. BACKGROUND

- 1. On June 18, 2019, this docket was opened in Order No. 9418.
- 2. Order No. 9418 set a deadline for Petitions for Leave to Intervene ("Petition" or "Petitions") at July 16, 2019.
 - 3. On July 5, 2019, DNREC timely filed a Petition.
- 4. On July 10, 2019, the Delaware Public Advocate ("DPA") filed an objection ("Objection") to DNREC's Petition.
 - 5. On July 17, 2019, I set a deadline for objections to all Petitions at July 22, 2019.
- 6. On July 24, 2019, I issued Order No. 9438 which, *inter alia*, continued a decision with respect to the DNREC petition as a result of the DPA Objection.
 - 7. On July 30, 2019, DPA withdrew its Objection.

II. DISCUSSION

8. Petitions for Leave to Intervene are governed by PSC Rule 2.9 ("Rule 2.9").¹

¹ 26 Del. Adm. Code 1001, 2.9)

PSC Docket No. 19-0377, Order 9439 Cont'd

9. In DNREC's Petition DNREC states that this docket involves policy issues of

interest to DNREC, including through its Division of Climate, Coastal & Energy ("DCCE").

DCCE provides an incentive program to Delawareans and Delaware-based businesses for the

purchase of electric vehicles and Level 2 charging stations. In addition, DNREC states that it

has an interest in Electric Vehicle charging by ensuring the continued growth of electric vehicles

in Delaware and in ensuring that electric vehicle charging infrastructure is deployed in a

widespread, cost-effective and equitable manner across Delaware and that its intervention is in

the public interest. Furthermore, DNREC has previously intervened on Docket 17-1094

addressing approval of Vehicle Charging program.

10. I am satisfied that DNREC has satisfied the requirements of Rule 2.9 and thus

should be admitted as a party in this docket.

11. No other party has objected to DNREC's Petition.

III. DECISION

12. DNREC having alleged in its Petition that it satisfies the requirements of Rule 2.9

for intervention as a party, and I agree, DNREC's Petition is hereby **GRANTED**.

13. Representatives of DNREC shall be added to the service list in this docket.

/s/ Glenn C. Kenton

Glenn C. Kenton, Esq.

Hearing Examiner

2